

Reflection-based Artistic Professional Practice

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

01.09.2020 – 31.08.2023

CATALOGUE RAISONNÉ

Guidelines (Intellectual Output 1)

Imprint

Authors

Evelyn Buyken, Hochschule für Musik und Tanz Köln, Germany
Carla Conti, Conservatorio di Musica Santa Cecilia, Rome, Italy
Darla Crispin, Norges musikhøgskole, NMH, Oslo, Norway
Sybille Fraquelli, Hochschule für Musik und Tanz Köln, Germany
Ivar Grydeland, Norges musikhøgskole, NMH, Oslo, Norway
Jonathan Impett, Orpheus Instituut Ghent, Belgium
Johannes Kretz, mdw – Universität für Musik und darstellende Kunst Wien, Austria
Theodore Parker, Eesti Muusika- ja Teatriakadeemia, Tallinn, Estonia

Editors

Evelyn Buyken, Hochschule für Musik und Tanz Köln, Germany
Sybille Fraquelli, Hochschule für Musik und Tanz Köln, Germany

Co-Editor

Stella Louise Göke, Hochschule für Musik und Tanz Köln, Germany

With contributions from

Peter Dejangs, Orpheus Instituut Ghent, Belgium
Michelangelo Galeati, Conservatorio di Musica Santa Cecilia, Rome, Italy
Stefan Gies, Association Européenne des Conservatoires, Académies de Musique et Musikhochschulen (AEC)
Kristel Pappel, Eesti Muusika- ja Teatriakadeemia, Tallinn, Estonia

If you want to quote from this document, please use the following bibliographic reference:
Buyken, Evelyn et al. (2023), RAPP Lab Outcomes, in: Research Catalogue. An International Database for Artistic Research, <https://doi.org/10.22501/rc.1673421>

Table of content

1. Introduction.....	3
2. The experimental set-up: How RAPP Lab was created	6
3. Principles and Values of RAPP Lab: The Catalogue Raisonné.....	9
4. Meta-Level: RAPP Lab's contribution to	13

1. Introduction

What is RAPP Lab?

RAPP stands for "Reflection-based Artistic Professional Practice". Under this acronym the project brings together the expertise of six Higher Music Education institutions in Europe:

Conservatorio di Musica Santa Cecilia (Rome),

Eesti Muusika-ja Teatriakadeemia (Tallinn),

Hochschule für Musik und Tanz (Cologne as coordinator),

mdw – Universität für Musik und Darstellende Kunst (Vienna),

Norges musikhøgskole, NMH (Oslo),

Orpheus Instituut (Ghent) and the

Association Européenne des Conservatoires/AEC (Bruxelles).

RAPP Lab is a three-year EU-funded research project supported by the ERASMUS+ programme "Strategic Partnerships" (2020-2023). During a series of six multi-national experiments, described as Labs, advanced music students had the opportunity to try out new teaching and learning formats.

The project focuses on the development of artistic study programmes. It is not or only in a wider sense informing scientific- or scholarly-based study programmes in Music. RAPP Lab is a research project which explores how methods of Artistic Research can inform and enhance learning and teaching settings in artistic study programmes.

What is RAPP Lab about?

Reflection is a key term and at the heart of the project. But this project is not creating an ontological and theoretical concept of reflection in artistic practice. It asks: How can methods and findings of Artistic Research develop a learning and teaching culture, through which the student's ability to critically reflect on and in their artistic doing can be fostered?

RAPP Lab does not assume that individual artistic teaching is unreflective. Rather, RAPP Lab emphasizes that sayings like "Do it until it sounds well!" or "Do it like I do!" relate to an old conservatory thinking that belongs to history and that it is the motivation of Higher Music Education institutions to guide students to self-learning and to a teaching at eye-level. But there still is a need to give concrete examples and research-based hand-on-tools to implement learning and teaching settings in which this self-reflective, experimental learning atmosphere can emerge. And not only directed to teachers, but also to students: How can students learn to get to know, to articulate their own reflective potential?

And this is exactly where RAPP Lab comes in: It is about developing concrete methods for artistic study programmes that can be used both as fragmented solutions for individual lessons, for group lessons, for artistic-scientific formats, and for interdisciplinary collaborations.

We as a RAPP Lab team propose: Reflection-based artistic practice

- should have a self-esteem in itself,
- is something, which a student should be allowed to learn as a competence for professional development and personal growth,
- should be fixed in study programmes and not happen by accident,
- and its role for students should be visible.

And for this, we need Artistic Research and its methods:

- to foster an experimental and open sense of coming to results as a process of doing (knowing-in-the-making),
- to promote 'research' as a continuum between experimental-artistic to scholarly based searching and
- to strengthen the attitude of learning as an open process.

What is RAPP Lab's research frame?

RAPP Lab considers reflection in a wide sense: as a verbal as well as a bodily practice. To reflect can mean to listen and react carefully during an improvisation. It can mean to write an exposé, to day-dream, to wonder, to have an 'aha-moment' under the shower, to be inspired within in a chat with a colleague, to lose control or to collapse. RAPP Lab's research questions are:

1. How can we playfully investigate the relationship of reflection and the student's artistic practice, without saying this or that is reflection?
2. How can a reflective attitude in handling artistic material occur in specific learning environments? How can e.g., the focus on the bodily sensitivities we rely on while playing, singing or dancing change and develop my reflective skills?

Together with our partners, we developed six different thematic fields of experimentation (Lab 1-6). These aimed:

1. to try out different ways of enhancing (self-)reflexivity in artistic practice,
2. to identify concrete methods from these experiments
3. and to transform them into reproducible material

Each Lab has its own research question:



LAB 1 | OSLO

**Developing Critical Reflection
in Artistic Research**

March 2021 at Norges musikhøgskole,
NMH Oslo, Norway (Online)

How can we enhance musical practice through identifying, developing and enacting a structured model of critical reflection?



LAB 2 | GHENT

**Developing Cognitive Skills for
Artistic Research in Music**

September 2021 at Orpheus Instituut,
Ghent, Belgium

How can we empower and encourage our reflective potential through developing cognitive skills and conceptual models outside our own main expertise?



LAB 3 | COLOGNE

**Embodied Reflection
in Artistic Practice**

May 2022 at Hochschule für Musik und
Tanz Köln, Germany

How can we explore reflexivity in artistic doing from perspectives of embodied and silent knowledge, from states of moving, hearing, sensing and mobilizing the known as well as the unknown?



LAB 4 | VIENNA

**Transculturality
in Artistic Research**

May 2022 at mdw - Universität für Musik und
darstellende Kunst Vienna, Austria

How can we enhance our ability to reflect our artistic practice and art-based scientific methods, through experiencing them in different social, cultural and artistic contexts?



LAB 5 | TALLINN

**Exploring Musical Identities:
Autoethnography**

September 2022 at Eesti Muusika-ja
Teatriakadeemia Tallinn, Estonia

How can we broaden our knowledge of self and musical identity through developing skills in autoethnography in writing, self-interviews and self-observations?



LAB 6 | ROME

**Conscious Improvisation:
A Deep and Functional Approach**

March 2023 at Conservatorio di musica
Santa Cecilia Rome, Italy

How can we allow individuals to reflect on their own artistic practice through 'conscious improvisation' and learning to take risks, do choices, and stay in intimate situations without following a so-called 'ex cattedra'-teaching figure?

2. The experimental set-up: How RAPP Lab was created

Work-packages or self-reflective questionnaires

To prepare the content of the six Labs, four “work-packages” as self-reflective questionnaires for teachers have been created:

Work-Package 1:

Theories/Concepts of Reflectiveness and Critical Thinking and their Relevance for Artistic Research

1. Which artistic experiences inspire your Lab?
2. Which theories/concepts of reflectiveness and critical thinking do you expect to be helpful for the process of artistic research in your Lab?
(e.g. accessible case studies and examples of good practice of LTT activities, documentations and outcomes of European projects conducted at Music HEI, such as POLIFONIA, SHARE, Advancing Supervision for Artistic Research Doctorates and NAIP, national reports from course leaders, etc.)
3. Describe in which way these theories and concepts are connected with you and the practice you intend to reflect in your Lab.
(e.g. by surprise/”trouvaille”/accident; idea through quotes from other publications; own research; collaborations e.g. with other departments/partner projects ...)
4. Which qualities of this dialogue between theory and practice feed back into your research process? (surprise, motivation, loss of clarity, etc.)
5. In which real or virtual spaces did you search?
6. Which 5 most important terms would you suggest for a glossary with a central RAPP Lab terminology?
7. Which 5 terms would you like to redefine?
8. For which term would you like to write a glossary-article?

Work-Package 2:

Methods of Learning & Teaching RAPP Lab

1. Thinking about your own artistic practice: Which methods do you personally use to develop and further your own reflectiveness and critical thinking?
2. Which teaching formats would you describe to be helpful for students to develop and further reflectiveness and critical thinking in artistic practice?
3. Which media (or materials) supports your methods to develop and further reflectiveness and critical thinking in artistic practice?
4. Which questions/hints/exercises [not settings!] would you name as a useful opener for reflectiveness and critical thinking in artistic practice?
5. Which questions/hints/exercises [not settings!] would you name of having a negative impulse on reflectiveness and critical thinking in artistic practice?
6. How would you describe your process of finding a suitable method to develop and further reflectiveness and critical thinking in learning and teaching formats in artistic practice?

Work-Package 3:

Settings of Learning & Teaching RAPP Lab

Experimental settings for acquiring and testing knowledge and skills in artistic practice

1. Could you name an “experimental setting” for acquiring knowledge and skills in artistic practice in which you are especially interested in and why?
2. In which way do you define this setting as “experimental”?
3. Which “experimental settings” of acquiring knowledge and skills in artistic practice did you already use in teaching artistic practice (e.g. in your Lab)?



4. Which effects do these experimental settings have on the relationship between teachers and students?
5. How could teachers evaluate knowledge and skills in experimental settings and what are the challenges?
6. How do evaluation criteria change in the context of reflectiveness as artistic practice (e.g. embodied knowledge; collaborative feedback)?
7. Which role does the feedback-process have in acquiring and testing knowledge and skills, what kind of feedback would be necessary and how could it be implemented?

Work-Package 4


Transformations of Learning & Teaching RAPP Lab

Strategies for empowering musicians to create new economic & cultural roles and


1. Describe which strategies RAPP Lab use to empower artists in finding their role on the labour market.
2. How could economic and cultural roles change through RAPP Lab-graduates in your opinion?
3. In which ways do conservatoires need to adapt their role to empower graduates for this transformation in the labour market?
4. Which new roles would you think that RAPP Lab-graduates as entrepreneurs or employees could have in (European) economic, social and cultural fields in future?
5. Which skills or strategies empower RAPP Lab graduates in a wider interdisciplinary way (e.g. in other economic, social and cultural fields)?

3. Principles and Values of RAPP Lab: The Catalogue Raisonné

This project proceeded through a sensible discussion and choice of terms. Additionally to the agreement that there is a need for teaching and learning strategies and examples, RAPP Lab extended the idea of “Guidelines”, which have a rather hermetical connotation, to the idea of a “Catalogue Raisonné”. This description has been adapted from a term rather common in art science to describe a growing source of information and references to the œuvre of an artist:¹



¹ See also: <https://www.nypl.org/about/divisions/wallach-division/art-architecture-collection/catalogue-raisonne> or <https://icra.art/about/catalogue-raisonne/what-is-a-catalogue-raisonne> (both: 14.08.2023)



"There is nothing fixed about the arrangement that we have given ...; we have the liberty of using them in the manner which seems most useful and agreeable"

Edme-François Gersaint, 1744: Catalogue Raisonné des diverses curiosités du cabinet de feu
M. Quentin de Lorangere.
Barois, Paris. p. 46

By referring to this idea of a growing "catalogue", it became possible to preserve all individual outcomes of the Labs, the pedagogical tradition for which they stand for and their specific research ideas. Nevertheless, the following principles and values have been identified as crucial for an overarching conceptual framework within the project:



Methodology

Culture
of Pedagogy

Collaboration
& Communication

Interdisciplinarity

Agency &
Social Impact

Methodology

1. Time: Space for unexpectedness; reflection is time; time and space in the study programme: it is a question of implementation
 2. Different formats: Plenary, small groups, open/closed for public, alone
 3. Rhythm: Differentiation of how formats interrelate with each other (“high art of teaching”)
 4. Documentation: Awareness of how to materialize the experiences gained in the Labs and how they can become results.
 5. Implementation: Question, when to start with Artistic Research and which role reflection has for this question
- Critical reflection is important in Bachelor as well (deeper engagement into the practice, as a chance to not just do or repeat)
 - Critical reflection is not the final top of something, but an attitude: It is about acquiring skills. We are already creating the filter, of how we will engage into our practice and into our musicianship, also in Bachelor level.
 - Individualised study paths instead of skill training: What role can a tool kit for critical reflection have?

Culture of Communication and Collaboration

1. Working climate

- No catwalk of skills but laboratory
- Flat hierarchies/student centred approach; but also moderation, tools for structuring
- System of values: what counts?

2. The power of collaboration for reflexivity

- How to collaborate is not self-evident: This is also something to learn and to train.
- And: There is no singular practice (no me-search), it is interdisciplinary research and it is about collaborative working (being part of a research process as receiver and giver)

3. Reflection as a learning from the side, and to open the external view

Culture of pedagogy:

1. Whole person: critical reflection is a possibility to fill the gap between technique/practice and insecurity/professionality: There always is precarity. Reflection offers an understanding of micro-practices. Aim: The whole person, not just skill!

2. Critically reflect the value of technique: it is not self-worthy

3. Mapping out personality: When does your critical voice come forward? When not?

4. Awareness for the 'right' measure: no forefinger-pedagogy, no emancipation-laboratory, which in worst case builds up another ideology

5. Training of the teacher: teaching to transgress: How do I make you able to make yourself accessible to your own knowledge? What do you do when you acquire new knowledge?

4. Meta-Level: RAPP Lab's contribution to ...

Artistic Research and Agency

- How can RAPP Lab contribute to the question of artistic agency: How to become a change agent?
- How can we implement a fluid transgression between studying and working?
- Question the individuality as mastery
- How can we acknowledge diversity and diverse artistic agency
- Failure, clashing, friction as potential: I can also clash in my core business and not find a place, and this is not unprofessional. To lose the paths of main subject of education does not mean to give up.

Artistic Research and role for society especially in pandemic times

- RAPP Lab can make a proactive contribution for searching, practising and reflection in pandemic times. Search for ways to connect to the "professional". That also means to reflect on: What is our profession?

Artistic Research and STEAM in a broader interdisciplinary way

- RAPP Lab as a conglomeration to develop tools or evidence, how disciplines can develop when they are allowed to do what they do.
- Artistic Research has the responsibility to ask the institutions about the relationship between training/profession. Multi-perspectivity, the look from the side: How does my field look, when I look on it from your field?
- What are methods of Artistic Research or what methods does Artistic Research unfold?