Federico Federici

Notions

(about the object under investigation)



[workbook of the universe]



[talk on YouTube]

http://federicofederici.net

[it] can be partitioned into finitely many parts to be shifted by a rigid motion and reassembled into an object whose extensive properties are the same as the original one

the value of any quantity is measurable, at any time and to an arbitrary accuracy, without significantly influencing the original state of the system [what] the writer and the reader interact with does not require shifts, nor transitional signs

signification rests on a presemantic underpinning of some sort in the writing | reading process

both approaches fail to hold

geometrical entities consist of an uncountable number of null sets [points] – infinity does not compensate for zero

a measurement is both an operational procedure about the observable and a phenomenon in itself, subject to the same laws it investigates

a not negligible interaction is established between the measuring apparatus and the investigated system the act of measurement determines the value of the words consist of an arbitrary number of unstable subsets [signs] – signification does not compensate for the temporary | seeming meaninglessness

a word is both a procedure defining the object it points to and a phenomenon in itself, subject to the same laws it investigates

writing does not reveal some preexisting value of the written word: how the particular outcome of signification is brought into being is inherently

unknowable

<u>observable</u>

transition

from possible to actual

transition

from sign to word



the moon is demonstrably not there when nobody looks nobody demonstrably looks when the moon is not there nobody demonstrably looks there when the moon is not

[permutations after N. D. Mermin]

how does the whole land geographically relate to the landscape?

the text is demonstrably not there when nobody reads nobody demonstrably reads when the text is not there nobody demonstrably reads there when the text is not

how does the whole lan-gauge metrically relate to the text?

is what the writer sees [what the reader attempts to] the lithic essence of the text? a long-standing enlightenment?

th ink of the ink

dots do[n']t get absorbed in

[instances of the untranslatable]

the result [cause] of writing [the word]
the result of erasing [the thing the word is]
the result of erasing writing [the thing]
the mark made by it [the word the thing is]
[observable] a priori entity of em- pirical observation	[word] a priori entity of readable signification • negligeable subset of readable signs • degree of freedom of the text, related to its transforma- tion across languages, that manifests itself, under certain circumstances, in a particular kind of writing



[hidden signs theorem] text-objects [possess properties that] exceed reading

the reading process establishes an unstable interaction with their state

[sufficient criterion of reality] an element of reality exists if the corresponding value of a physical quantity can with certainty be predicted without disturbing the system, the latter being in an eigenstate of the observable associated with that value

[minimal requirement | calibration condition] whenever the system is in an eigenstate, the apparatus indicates the corresponding eigenvalue after the interaction has ceased

"	"	" "		"	"	"		"	""
"		"	"				" ""		""
"	"		"	"		"	!	"	"
" "		"	"		"	"			"
"	""	""	"	"	"	"	""	"	"
""	""		"	"	"		""		

[alleged] indeterminacy of a grid of removed signification: some metrics is always implied

"/mu:n/"	"/mum/"	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/"	"/mum/"	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/"	"/mum/"	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/" "	/mum/"	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/""/	/mu:n/""	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"
"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"	"/mu:n/"
"moon"		

the hesitationto keep the levels of reality intact
to imagine a completer awarenessthe distancebetween what is read[able] and what is real

a real measurement should point to [readable] words | [mutually] disa definite position chargeable pointers



[objectification problem collapse problem

$\mathbf{IF}\{$

an observable has no definite value, the object-plus-apparatus is in an entangled state}

|eigen-|gegen|entangled|

IF{

an account of the measuring process is required for semantic completeness, the system is semantically inconsistent}

[what means for an observable to have a definite value]

the tension between the collapse process [random event] and the deterministic dynamics of

a closed system

a measurement scheme that leaves the state of the object unchanged defines a trivial observable whose measure probability is independent of the state itself

the notion of instrument describes the state changes that follow an act of measurement in the text-environment]

a configuration of signs | words exists characterized by some recognisable signification • a spike triggers its collapse • a wave of signification Ψ attempts to constrain the dynamic}

it sets and retains the signification field wherein the word-sign duality manifests itself • it is both the outer frame and the inner law}

other signs | words • strata of fossilated languages surface

the method translates semantic collisions into [semi]-structured domains of heterogeneous signs [open text-environment]

a writing scheme that leaves the state of signification of the signs unchanged defines a trivial text-object whose likely signification is independent of the state itself

the notion of reader describes the signification changes that follow an act of writing

neither a mere spectator • nor indeed a character

no information gain without some to write is to disturb text-objects disturbance to measure is to disturb not to reify an idealised notion of it

the object-system

8